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Recall that the dominant eigenvalue (i.e. the eigenvalue with largest absolute magnitude) of Leslie matrix $M$ tells us about the limiting behavior of an age-structured population.
Specifically, the total population will:

- increase for $\lambda_{1}>1$
- be stable for $\lambda_{1}=1$
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Recall that the dominant eigenvalue (i.e. the eigenvalue with largest absolute magnitude) of Leslie matrix $M$ tells us about the limiting behavior of an age-structured population.
Specifically, the total population will:

- increase for $\lambda_{1}>1$
- be stable for $\lambda_{1}=1$
- decrease to extinction for $\lambda_{1}<1$

The eigenvalues of $M$ are the roots of the characteristic polynomial:

$$
p(\lambda)=\operatorname{det}(M-\lambda I)
$$

Recall that the dominant eigenvalue (i.e. the eigenvalue with the limiting behavior of an age-structured population.
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- increase for $\lambda_{1}>1$
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The eigenvalues of $M$ are the roots of the characteristic polynomial:

$$
p(\lambda)=\operatorname{det}(M-\lambda I)
$$

If $M$ has dimensions $n \times n$ there are $n$ eigenvalues $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \cdots, \lambda_{n}$ (not necessarily distinct) and $n$ eigenvectors $v_{1}, v_{2}, \cdots v_{n}$.

Recall that the dominant eigenvalue (i.e. the eigenvalue with the limiting behavior of an age-structured population.
Specifically, the total population will:

- increase for $\lambda_{1}>1$
- be stable for $\lambda_{1}=1$
- decrease to extinction for $\lambda_{1}<1$

The eigenvalues of $M$ are the roots of the characteristic polynomial:

$$
p(\lambda)=\operatorname{det}(M-\lambda I)
$$

If $M$ has dimensions $n \times n$ there are $n$ eigenvalues
$\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \cdots, \lambda_{n}$ (not necessarily distinct) and $n$ eigenvectors
$v_{1}, v_{2}, \cdots v_{n}$.
The eigenvector corresponding to the dominant eigenvalue ( $v_{1}$ ) gives us the relative age distribution for large values of time. In other words, the age distribution of the population will approach some scalar multiple of that eigenvector with time.
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Suppose we have three age classes, females in 2nd and 3rd age class produce 4 and 3 female offspring, and suppose that $50 \%$ and $25 \%$ of females live to second and third age class, respectively.

Suppose we have three age classes, females in 2nd and 3rd age class produce 4 and 3 female offspring, and suppose that $50 \%$ and $25 \%$ of females live to second and third age class, respectively. The Leslie matrix is:

$$
M=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 4 & 3 \\
0.5 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0.25 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

Suppose we have three age classes, females in 2nd and 3rd age class produce 4 and 3 female offspring, and suppose that $50 \%$ and $25 \%$ of females live to second and third age class, respectively. The Leslie matrix is:

$$
M=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 4 & 3 \\
0.5 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0.25 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

Suppose the initial age distribution is:

$$
\underline{x}_{0}=\left(\begin{array}{l}
10 \\
10 \\
10
\end{array}\right)
$$

Enter both

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \gg \mathrm{M}=[043 ; 0.500 ; 00.250] ; \\
& \gg 0=[10 ; 10 ; 10] ;
\end{aligned}
$$

We will follow the population over 10 years and want to hold all population vectors in one array $X$.

```
>> M =[0 4 3; 0.5 0 0; 0 0.25 0];
>> x0 = [10;10;10];
\(\gg \mathrm{M}=[0 \mathrm{~A} 3 ; 0.500,00.250] ;\)
>> \(x 0=[10 ; 10 ; 10] ;\)
```

We will follow the population over 10 years and want to hold all population vectors in one array $X$.
Define this array, initialize with zeros, and store initial age distribution in first column.

```
>> M =[0 4 3; 0.5 0 0; 0 0.25 0];
>> x0 = [10;10;10];
> M = [0 3 3; 0.5 0 0; 0.25 0 \(]\);
>> \(x 0=[10 ; 10 ; 10] ;\)
```

We will follow the population over 10 years and want to hold all population vectors in one array $X$. Define this array, initialize with zeros, and store initial age distribution in first column.
>> $\mathrm{X}=$ zeros $(3,11)$;
>> $X(:, 1)=x 0 ;$
$\gg \mathrm{M}=\left[\begin{array}{llllllll}0 & 4 & 3 ; & 0.5 & 0 & 0 ; & 0.25 & 0\end{array}\right] ;$
>> $x 0=[10 ; 10 ; 10]$;
We will follow the population over 10 years and want to hold all population vectors in one array $X$.
Define this array, initialize with zeros, and store initial age distribution in first column.
>> $\mathrm{X}=$ zeros $(3,11)$;
>> $X(:, 1)=x 0 ;$
Now calculate age-distribution over the next 10 years:
>> for $k=2: 11, X(:, k)=M * X(:, k-1)$; end
View the results:
>> X

```
>> X
```

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X= \\
& 1.0 e+03
\end{aligned}
$$

Columns 1 through 6

| 0.0100 | 0.0700 | 0.0275 | 0.1437 | 0.0813 | 0.2978 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0.0100 | 0.0050 | 0.0350 | 0.0138 | 0.0719 | 0.0406 |
| 0.0100 | 0.0025 | 0.0013 | 0.0088 | 0.0034 | 0.0180 |

Columns 7 through 11

| 0.2164 | 0.6261 | 0.5445 | 1.3333 | 1.3238 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0.1489 | 0.1082 | 0.3130 | 0.2722 | 0.6667 |
| 0.0102 | 0.0372 | 0.0271 | 0.0783 | 0.0681 |
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## Recap

You noted the scale factor $10^{3}$. Let's try a friendlier format:

```
>> format short g
```

>> X
$\mathrm{X}=$
Columns 1 through 6

| 10 | 70 | 27.5 | 143.75 | 81.25 | 297.81 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 10 | 5 | 35 | 13.75 | 71.875 | 40.625 |
| 10 | 2.5 | 1.25 | 8.75 | 3.4375 | 17.969 |


| 216.41 | 626.09 | 544.49 | 1333.3 | 1323.8 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 148.91 | 108.2 | 313.05 | 272.25 | 666.67 |
| 10.156 | 37.227 | 27.051 | 78.262 | 68.062 |

Columns 7 through 11

Plot:

```
>> t=0:10;
>> plot(t, X')
>> xlabel('Time')
>> ylabel('Number of females')
>> legend('Y1','Y2','Y3','Location', 'Best')
```

or plot as semi-log plot:
>> figure
$\gg$ semilogy $\left(t, X^{\prime}\right)$
>> xlabel('Time')
>> ylabel('Number of females')
>> legend('Y1','Y2','Y3','Location', 'Best')



Now let's calculate the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of $M$ :
Katja Fennel
>> [V,D] = eig(M);
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>> [V,D] = eig(M);
Try help eig and read the first two paragraphs.

>> [V,D] = eig(M);
Try help eig and read the first two paragraphs. The matrix V contains the eigenvectors. The matrix D contains the corresponding eigenvalues on it's main diagonal.

Now let's calculate the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of $M$ :
>> [V,D] = eig(M);
Try help eig and read the first two paragraphs. The matrix V contains the eigenvectors. The matrix D contains the corresponding eigenvalues on it's main diagonal. Let's look at both:

```
>> V
    V =
\begin{tabular}{rrr}
0.94737 & -0.93201 & 0.22588 \\
0.31579 & 0.356 & -0.59137 \\
0.052632 & -0.067989 & 0.77412
\end{tabular}
>> D
    D =
\begin{tabular}{rrr}
1.5 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -1.309 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -0.19098
\end{tabular}
```


## Let's check that $M=V D V^{-1}$

## Let's check that $M=V D V^{-1}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { >> } \mathrm{V} \star \mathrm{D} \star \operatorname{inv}(\mathrm{~V}) \\
& \text { ans }= \\
& -1.1839 \mathrm{e}-16 \\
& 0.5 \\
& -8.6606 \mathrm{e}-16 \\
& -6.245 \mathrm{e}-17
\end{aligned}
$$

Is this equal to our Leslie matrix $M$ ?

Our dominant eigenvalue is $1.5(D(1,1))$ and the corresponding eigenvector is the first column of V (i.e. $\mathrm{V}(:, 1)$ )
>> v1 = V(:,1)
v1 =
0.94737
0.31579
0.052632

Note that Matlab returns normalized eigenvectors by default:
>> $\mathrm{V1}{ }^{\prime} * \mathrm{v} 1$
ans $=$

1

To get the relative age distribution in the future, we can simply divide each element of v 1 by the sum of all elements in v 1 :

```
>> v1=v1/sum(v1)
    v1 =
    0.72
    0.24
    0.04
```

I.e. $72 \%$ of the total population will be in age class $1,24 \%$ in age class 2 , and $4 \%$ in age class 3.

To get the relative age distribution in the future, we can simply divide each element of v 1 by the sum of all elements in v 1 :

```
>> v1=v1/sum(v1)
    v1 =
    0.72
    0.24
    0.04
```

I.e. $72 \%$ of the total population will be in age class $1,24 \%$ in age class 2, and 4\% in age class 3.
Let's test this by calculating age distribution after 100 years:

```
>> x100=M^100*x0
    x100 =
    1.1555e+19
    3.8516e+18
    6.4194e+17
>> x = x100/sum(x100)
    x =
            0.72
            0.24
            0.04
```

